

APPENDIX

SCOTTISH BORDERS COUNCIL LOCAL REVIEW BODY DECISION NOTICE

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 43A (8) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997

THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCHEMES OF DELEGATION AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013

Local Review Reference: 21/00006/RREF

Planning Application Reference: 20/01234/FUL

Development Proposal: Erection of boundary fence (retrospective)

Location: 1 Raeburn Lane, Selkirk

Applicant: Mr Josh Welsh

DECISION

The Local Review Body upholds the decision of the appointed officer and refuses planning permission as explained in this decision notice and on the following grounds:

 The development would be contrary to Policy PMD2 of the Scottish Borders Local Development Plan 2016 in that it would represent a prominent and incongruous form of development that would have an adverse impact on the character and appearance of the surrounding area. This conflict is not overcome by other material considerations.

DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

The application relates to the erection of a fence. The application drawings and documentation consisted of the following:

| Plan Type | Plan Reference No. |
|------------|--------------------|
| OS Sitemap | Block Plan |

Photograph 1
Photograph 2
Photograph 3

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

The Local Review Body considered the review, which had been competently made, under section 43A (8) of the Town & Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 at its meeting on 17th May 2021.

After examining the review documentation at that meeting, which included a) Notice of Review: b) Decision Notice: c) Officer's Report: d) Papers referred to in Officer's Report; e) Support comment; and f) List of Policies, the Review Body considered the applicant's request for further procedure in the form of a site visit but did not consider it necessary in this instance and proceeded to determine the case.

REASONING

The determining issues in this Review were:

- (1) whether the proposal would be in keeping with the Development Plan, and
- (2) whether there were any material considerations which would justify departure from the Development Plan.

The Development Plan comprises: SESplan Strategic Development Plan 2013 and the Scottish Borders Local Development Plan 2016. The LRB considered that the relevant listed policies were:

- Local Development Plan policies: PMD2 and HD3
- Other Material Considerations
 - SBC Supplementary Planning Guidance on Placemaking and Design 2010

The Review Body noted that the proposal was for planning permission to form a boundary fence and that, as the fence was erected, the application sought retrospective permission. Members appreciated that the applicant had followed the appropriate procedure once the planning breach had been identified

The Review Body firstly considered the visual impact of the fence as a garden and roadside boundary treatment. They assessed the fence on the photographs submitted by the applicant and a series of photographs showing surrounding properties taken from Google Streetview, whilst understanding that the latter were several years old. Members had no issue with a timber fence replacing the previous metal fence, but they agreed with the Appointed Officer that the fence was too high, out of keeping with the surrounding hedges and low boundary treatments and did not provide a positive contribution to the existing streetscape. They considered that there were established planning regulations governing fencing height and the proposal contravened these. The Review Body did discuss whether the fence would have been more acceptable if lower in height. They also noted the adjoining high hedge, albeit they felt that hedging still provided a softer impact on the street scene. Members concluded that the fencing was contrary to Policy PMD2 of the Local Development Plan.

In terms of the applicant's residential amenity under Policy HD3, Members noted the applicant's requirements for privacy, dog security and the location of the property downhill at a road junction and near to a bus stop. Whilst they had sympathy with the applicant in terms of the perceived benefits of the fencing and noted that there was a letter of support and no objections from neighbours, the Review Body did not consider these outweighed their concerns over visual impact and height of the fencing. They concluded that the fence, at the

height and in the location proposed, was prominent, incongruous and an inappropriate boundary treatment for the site, contrary to Policy PMD2 of the Local Development Plan.

CONCLUSION

After considering all relevant information, the Local Review Body concluded that the development was contrary to the Development Plan and that there were no other material considerations that would justify departure from the Development Plan. Consequently, the application was refused for the reasons stated above.

Notice Under Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Schemes of Delegation and Local Review procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013.

- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the planning authority to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may question the validity of that decision by making an application to the Court of Session. An application to the Court of Session must be made within 6 weeks of the date of the decision.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land in accordance with Part V of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

Signed.....Councillor S Mountford
Chairman of the Local Review Body

Date.....21 May 2021